	_
FILED RECEIVED	LODGED
JUN 1.5	2015
CLERK US DISTRICT OF	RICT COURT ARIZONA
DI	DEPUTY

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF ARIZONA

AbdulKhabir Wahid	
Petitioner	
V <sub>e</sub>	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS	
	<b>CASE NO.15-9155MB</b>
	CV-15-01088-PHX-JJT-BSB
Respondent	

### **MOTION FOR RETURN OF PROPERTY**

COMES NOW, The petitioner making a special appearance AbdulKhabir Wahid in propria persona, pursuant to applicable rules of court and/ or procedure hereby, moves this honorable court to Return Property to the said individual AbdulKhabir Wahid due to lack of evidence that constitutes a crime.

The petitioner is not a lawyer and his pleadings cannot be treated as such. In fact, according to Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S 519 (1972), a complaint however inartfully pleaded, "must be held" to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers" and can only be dismissed for failure to state a claim if it appears "beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him relief" ld., at 520-521, quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S 41, 45-46 (1957)

Let me say first, this motion was not filed due to spitefulness and maliciousness it was was filed out of parental protection of my children and also to use this court as a sort of record keeper and a watchdog in which I hope your honor is on neutral ground and will not have a conflict of interest since it is through the United States Government that the court receives its benefit.

In explaining why I want my property returned to me the best thing for me to do is to give a narrative of the events that occurred in my home with the F.B.I on June 10th, (wednesday) 2015.

I am domiciled at 3407 W.Port Au Prince Lane, Phoenix, Arizona 85053.

I was sitting on my sofa, talking on my cell phone when a hard forceful bang on the door "open up it the F.B.I" the agent screamed at first I thought I was imagining that they were at the door but then the knock on the door got even louder and the agent yell that it was the F.B.I the knock becomes even more aggressive I went to open the door with my16 year old daughter by my side who was terrified. I tried to open the door but it was impossible to do so because the agent was telling me to come out but yet he kept beating on my door, and I was not about to open the door while he was beating on it with what appeared to be some sort of metal club like device, I even said to him "I'm trying to come out please don't break my lock" But he smiled and kept hitting the door until he broke the lock off the door. I then said to him please don't put your guns in my 16 year old daughters face and you know what he looked at her and pointed it even closer to her face.

All the while this was going on the leader of this investigation agent Robert Byrne stood by and did nothing. Please know this with the knocking on my door so hard to the point that he busted the lock off my door the pointing of about 6 to 8 guns in my 16 year old daughters face is a form of NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. Especially seeing my daughter crying and when I asked her why were you crying she stated "CAUSE I NEVER HAD A GUN POINTED IN MY FACE BEFORE."

Sixteen years old and she never has had a gun pointed in her face before and the first time it ever happens to her it just so happens to be our finest DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE but yet yall state that "we are here to "PROTECT" and serve yeah albeit.

Let me ask you honor if you have any children how would you feel if someone stuck a gun in YOUR child face I don't think it would feel very nice and I'm pretty sure you wouldn't like it.

Funny if I put a gun in an officers face I will be charged with ASSAULT with a deadly weapon but it's ok for the department of justice to ambush me at my house and point at least 8 guns in my face all because they feel they have the" right" because there the government.

Anyway moving right along when I asked Mr. Byrne and his partner as to why they were doing this they explained to me that basically they got a tip from a mutual friend of mine by the name of AbdulMalik AbdulKareem that he stated that me and him and Elton Simpson and Nadir Soofi were out in the desert shooting guns, I immediately stated that I knew about them going to the desert and shooting but I was NOT present.

Still they felt that by AbdulMalik telling them that I was in the desert shooting with them gave the F.B.I the right to use hearsay and therefore forcefully enter my home and seize property that had nothing to do with the alleged "PROBABLE CAUSE".

First of all we Know that the F.B.I couldn't go to the courts with a hunch on what someone told them no they would have to say something better than that in order for the court to grant them a warrant even if it meant for them to base their assumptions off of pure speculation. Therefore by them taking their speculation and converting it into legal language such as stating there may be dangerous weapons in the house that may result into some terroristic threat (after all I am muslim). Thus using the color of law such as 18 U.S.C section 3103(a) automatically constitutes 18 U.S.C section 242 which is Deprivation of Rights Under the Color of Law in the making. In 18 U.S.C it states that a warrant may be issued to search for and seize property that constitutes evidence of a CRIMINAL OFFENCE in violation of the laws of the United States the only problem with that is none of the so called evidence on the RECEIPT OF PROPERTY fits that description for example how is my cell phone or my computer constitutes evidence as a criminal offence.

Basically all the property that is listed on the RECEIPT FOR PROPERTY has nothing to do with evidence as a criminal offence except one thing and it was brought to my attention by Robert Byrne, he brought it out of the house and showed it to me I didn't even know what it was, he said it was a Tactical Rail referring that it was part of a gun at first I thought maybe it was a part of one of my son's toys, Mr Byrne also brought out a box that I had gotten something from Amazon.com and said that one of the agents found it in the Amazon.com box.

However at approximately 6:45 am on June 13, 2015, my son arrived home I asked my son about the tactical rail and my son explained to me that yes it was in fact a part of a gun but it was a rail to an AIR SOFT gun, which is nothing more than a b-b gun, my son was behooved as why the F.B.I agent couldn't figure out it was basically a toy and stated "for Gods' sake its plastic how could you not know it was a toy." My son also stated that he had that tactical rail since he was 12 and he is now 18 and that he doesn't even have the gun anymore.

Furthermore as I stated before none of the items on the Receipt of Property constitutes evidence of criminal offence like my son's laptop computer which is an HP laptop model number 15-RO29WM, serial number CND4248VR8, I have called Mr. Byrne several times about my

son's computer because he needs it for school my son attend school on line but Mr.Byrnes has not answered any of my phone calls nor can he call me back because the F.B.I seized the only working phone that I have which also ties in to violating my fundamentally protected rights which Amendment One, Freedom of Speech and well as the 4th Amendment no search or seizure.

More importantly lets see what the law says about confiscating / seizure of a cell phone according to The United States Supreme Court in Riley v. California 573 U.S and U.S v. Wurie 728 F. 3d 1,1 (1st Cir 2013) Chief Justice John Roberts and I will paraphrase that it basically states that after a person is lawfully "ARRESTED" the arresting officers MUST still obtain a search warrant in order to retrieve data on someones cell phone. Thus it means that a arresting officer has no right to take the defendant cell phone even after arresting him he must still require a warrant, which means in my case there was no arrest therefore even though the F.B.I had a warrant it was still unlawful for the F.B.I to seize my phone because I had not been arrested.

However back to my son's computer by not allowing my son to have his computer the F.B.I is violating International law, they are violating United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights Article 26 which states "Everyone one has a right to education" Please also note that my son is in his senior year and June is actually his last month which is this month but how can he complete and finish his education if he doesn't have the necessary tools which is his computer.

In essence I'm asking the courts to please return "ALL" my property of my phone to me as well as return my son's computer and his phones and my computer and mainly his computer expeditiously because he needs it for school and by law the Courts cannot ignore a ruling coming from the United Nations because all government public offices including the judiciary of the United States have SWORN allegiance to the United Nations and therefore are under the jurisdiction of the United Nations; December 9th, 1945 International Organization Immunities Act, relinquished every public office of the United States to the United Nations.

And also since the F.B.I speculates and infer that I may have committed some sort of crime let us take a look at how a crime is defined by United States Code according to 18 U.S.C section 16 "Crime of violence" (a) an offense that has as an element the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the PERSON or PROPERTY of another or (b) any other offense that is a felony and that, by its nature involves a substantial risk that physical force against a person or property of another may be used in the course of committing the offense.

Now tell me according to 18 U.S.C "Crime of Violence, where is the victim that I injured or show me the property that I have damaged. Basically there can't be a crime because there was no violence.

And if you want to get technical as far as a crime is concern let's read what case law says about a crime in Cruden v. Neale, 2 NC 338 2 S.E 70. "Corpus Delicti consist of showing 1) the occurrence of the specific kind of injury and 2) Someone criminal act as the cause of injury.

Also in Johnson v. State 653 N.E 2d 478, 499 (Ind 1995) "State MUST PRODUCE corroborating evidence of "corpus delicti" showing that injury or harm constituting crime occurred and that injury or harm was caused by someone criminal activity."

To put it bluntly if I wanted to go after the F.B.I, I would but that is too much drama and headache especially after the crimes I feel that they have committed towards me and my family such as 1) Deprivation of Rights Under Color of law using the law to go on a witch hunt and deprive me of my property 2) Violating my freedom of speech by restricting my access to my ONLY cell phone cutting me off from communicating with the world and 3) the most important violating my son's Universal Declaration of Human Rights Article 26 which is "Everyone has a Right to Education by depriving my son the right to use his computer he is therefore not able to do his schooling on line.

Finally your Honor I ask once more that my property of my "ONLY" cell phone, my computer and my son's laptop and his cell phones be returned to me because none of those thing constitutes evidence of a crime according to 18 U.S.C section 3103a, not only that NONE of the evidence on the RECEIPT OF PROPERTY LIST meets the requirements of 18 U.S.C section 3103a. I have also included for the courts to see a copy of the Receipt of Property as well as a copy of the A.T.I repairmans card who is responsible for repairing the broke door as well as a copy of the Warrant.

More importantly as I stated to Mr. Byrne I DID NOT CONSENT, to this search and seizure thus making it an UNLAWFUL search and seizure.

Plus according to the Fourth Amendment it states "The Right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrant shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly DESCRIBING THE PLACE TO BE SEARCHED AND THE PERSONS OR THINGS TO BE SEIZED.

No where in the Warrant does it say anything about a DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTICULAR THINGS TO BE SEIZED, which basically means my rights according to the 4th Amendment were violated

I am innocent and I have done nothing wrong as far as the F.B.I are concerned I am guilty by association which is unfair.

Once more by them seizing my property by which none of such property meets the requirements of 18 U.S.C section 3103(a) is therefore a pure outright illegal confiscation.

If this court does nothing to right this wrong please note I will do everything legally possible to make sure that this INJUSTICE be overturned

Without predigerice all right peserved affalulk habis Wahish

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

	File #: 6330074	
	On (date) 6/10/2015 item(s) listed below were:  Received From Received To Released To Seized	
	(Name)	
	(Street Address)	
	(City)	
¥	Description of Item(s):  AT&T cellular phone model number Y536A1, IMEI number 865110020961042 with 32 gb memory card	ก์เร)
	Social security paperwork	
	Priority List	
X	Dell Vostro 200 desktop computer My Computer (Albauthabir Wahids)	
-	Soverign Notebook	
	Contact list notebook	
	List of extremist scholors	
	2 GB micro sd card	
	Social Security statements	
	LG t-mobil cellular telephone IMEI number 013306-00-3582869, SN 301KPVV358286 + My Sons Cel	1 phon
1 /	San Disc and 2 patriot thumb drive	ı
K.	HP laptop model number 15-R029WM, Serial number CND4248VR8 with power cord < My Sons Ab	top
, .	Tactical rail - that belongs to Air Soft Gun - or blogun	
X	White LG cell phone IMEI number 013341-00-610500-4 Model Number LGL35g - My 50ns Cell pl	none
	Handwritten notes, address book, letter	
米.	Blue I Phone IMEI 358538055582693 Model number A1456 - my sons cell phone	
X.	White Nokia Cell phone IMEI 355913056216265 Model Number 521 My Sons Cell phov	ne
euse <sup>2</sup>	Received By Tydd Kol A Received From	

Your honor correct me if I'm wrong but I thought the Knock and announce rule was a sort of prevention apparently not when the F.B.I became so aggressive that they broke

for no apparent reason

at all, and the Copy of

this was T. I is a

direct result of the

to much power

and as a result

F.B.I flexing a little

door and maintenance

man to fix it



AMERICAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

**RON JAMES** Superintendent Ron.James@ATIrestoration.com

PHOENIX OFFICE 23175 N. 23rd Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85027

623-434-9445 Office 602-354-6328 Cell 800-400-9353 Toll Free 623-434-9449 Fax

www.ATIrestoration.com License #ROC120623, ROC120624, ROC228730

the lock of my front down we end up with a broken



## **United States District Court**

### DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

۲щ	th.	Matter	of the	Carroh	$\alpha f$
In.	tne	lviaπer	or the	Search	OT

CASE NUMBER: 15 - 9155 MB

3407 West Port Au Prince Lane Phoenix, Arizona 85053

### SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT

**TO:** Any authorized law enforcement officer

An application by a federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government requests the search of the following person or property located in the District of Arizona:

#### See Attachment A, incorporated by reference

The affidavit in support of this Search Warrant is herein incorporated by reference or attached.

The person or property to be searched, described above, is believed to conceal:			
	See Attachm	ent B, incorporate	d by reference
I find that the affidavite property.	(s), or any recorded testing	nony, establish prob	pable cause to search and seize the person or
YOU ARE COMN	IANDED to execute	this warrant on o	
☑ in the daytime 6:00		any time in the day ished.	Date (Not to Exceed 10 days) or night as I find reasonable cause has been
	m, or from whose premi		the warrant and a receipt for the property taken staken, or leave the copy and receipt at the place
inventory as required by Control of the I find that immediate	y law and promptly return the following properties of the following this was a second of the following the	n this warrant and in એક ઉપયુજ્ય n adverse result liste	execution of the warrant, must prepare an inventory to any United States Magistrate Judge ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3103a (except for delay of e to the person who, or whose property, will be
$\square$ for days (not	to exceed 30).   until	the facts justifying	the later specific date of
Date and Time Issued	6-9-154	50 PM	2 Schilless
	,	,	Judge's Signature
City and state:	Phoenix, Arizona		Printed Name and Title  warrout does it  of the property that
		a e -tren	of the Dropery pro

give a obscription of required in "
phould be persen as required in "
the 4th Amendment."